

On the Abel-Radon transform of locally residual currents

Bruno Fabre

February 23, 2010

Abstract

First we recall the definition of locally residual currents and their basic properties. We prove in this first section a trace theorem, that we use later. Then we define the Abel-Radon transform of a current $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$, on a projective variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$, for a family of p -cycles of incidence variety $I \subset T \times X$, for which $p_1 : I \rightarrow T$ is proper and $p_2 : I \rightarrow X$ is submersive, and a domain $U \subset T$. Then we show the following theorem, for a family of sections of X with r -planes (which was proved for the family of lines of $X = \mathbb{P}^N$ by the author in [4] for $p = 1$, in [5] for $\mathcal{R}(\alpha) = 0$ and p -planes for any $q > 0$, and by Henkin and Passare in [7] for p -planes in $\mathbb{P}^N$ and integration currents $\alpha = \omega \wedge [Y]$, with a meromorphic q -form ω , and projective convexity on $\tilde{U}$):

Let α be a locally residual current of bidegree $(q + p, p)$ on U^ , with $U^* := \cup_{t \in U} H_t \subset X$, where $t \times H_t := p_1^{-1}(t)$. Then $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ is a meromorphic q -form on U , holomorphic iff α is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed.*

Let us assume that α is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed, and $q > 0$. If $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ extends meromorphically (resp. holomorphically) to a greater domain $\tilde{U}$, then α extends in a unique way as a locally residual current (resp. $\bar{\partial}$ -closed) to the greater domain $\tilde{U}^ \subset X$.*

In particular we recover the result of [5] without using [8]. We formulate another generalization, for complete intersections with respect to a fixed multidegree.

1 Locally residual currents

Let us recall what a locally residual current is on a complex manifold X of dimension n (cf. [9]). Let us be given $p + 1$ complex hypersurfaces $Y_1, \dots, Y_{p+1}$, such that for $i, 1 \leq i \leq p + 1$, $Y_1 \cap \dots \cap Y_i$ is of pure codimension i . Then we define, for a meromorphic q -form Ψ with $Pol(\Psi) \subset Y_1 \cup \dots \cup Y_{p+1}$ the currents $Res_{Y_1, \dots, Y_{p+1}}(\Psi)$ (resp. $Res_{Y_1, \dots, Y_p} P_{Y_{p+1}}(\Psi)$, or simply $P_{Y_1}(\Psi)$ or $[\Psi]$ the *principal value* if $p = 0$) as follows. On an open subset $U \subset X$, such that every $Y_i \cap U = \{f_i = 0\}$ for some holomorphic function f_i on U , we define, for

a test-form ϕ :

$$Res_{Y_1, \dots, Y_{p+1}}(\Psi)(\phi) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|f_1|=\epsilon_{m,1}, \dots, |f_p|=\epsilon_{m,p}, |f_{p+1}|=\epsilon_{m,p+1}} \phi \wedge \Psi,$$

and

$$Res_{Y_1, \dots, Y_p} P_{Y_{p+1}}(\Psi)(\phi) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|f_1|=\epsilon_{m,1}, \dots, |f_p|=\epsilon_{m,p}, |f_{p+1}| \geq \epsilon_{m,p+1}} \phi \wedge \Psi$$

for any sequence $\epsilon_m = (\epsilon_{m,1}, \dots, \epsilon_{m,p+1}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{p+1}$ such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_{m,1} = 0$ and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_{m,i+1}/\epsilon_{m,i}^k = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq p$) for all integers k . It does not depend on the sequence, nor on the choice of the f_i ; thus we can define, by a covering of X and a partition of unity subordinated to it, currents on the whole X : we call them residual currents. The first gives a current of bidegree $(q, p+1)$, the second a current of bidegree (q, p) , and moreover we have: $Res_{Y_1, \dots, Y_{p+1}}(\Psi) = \bar{\partial} Res_{Y_1, \dots, Y_p} P_{Y_{p+1}}(\Psi)$. A locally residual current is a current which is locally a residual current.

There is some characterization of a locally residual current α , by [1]:

1. α is of bidegree (q, p) , with an analytic support Y of codimension p ;
2. $\bar{\mathcal{I}}_Y \alpha = 0$;
3. $\bar{\partial} \alpha = 0$, outside an analytic hypersurface S of Y ; and, if this hypersurface S is not empty, the fourth:
4. α is of standard extension through S , i.e. $\alpha(\phi) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \alpha(\xi_\epsilon \phi)$, where ξ_ϵ is a cut-function, 0 in a neighborhood of X (see [1]).

In particular, integration currents $\omega \wedge [Y]$ are locally residual currents.

Let be $p : X \rightarrow Y$ an analytic morphism of analytic varieties. We have:

Lemma 1 *If α is a locally residual current on X , of bidegree (r, s) such that p is proper on the support $Z := \text{Supp}(\alpha)$ then $\alpha' := p_*(\alpha)$ is locally residual on Y , of bidegree $(r-k, s-k)$ with $k := \dim(Y) - \dim(X)$ and support $\subset Z' := p(Z)$, $\bar{\partial}-$ (resp. $\partial-$) closed if α is.*

Proof. First we see that α' is of bidegree $(r-k, s-k)$ by the definition of p_* , since the pull-back of forms doesn't change the bidegree. And α' is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed if α is, since p_* commutes with $\bar{\partial}$. We know by Remmert that Z' is an analytic subvariety of Y . Moreover, we can see that $\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{Z'}$ annihilates α' , since $p^*(\mathcal{I}_{Z'}) \subset \mathcal{I}_Z$. Moreover, the support of $\bar{\partial} \alpha'$ will be in Z' . Finally, α' will remain of standard extension. From this and from the preceding characterization we see that α' is a locally residual if α is. ■

We can see also:

Lemma 2 *If p is a submersion, then: if α is locally residual on Y , then $p^*(\alpha)$ is locally residual on X , $\bar{\partial}-$ (or $\partial-$) closed if α is.*

Proof. It suffices to see it on projections, locally. Then we see by Fubini that:

$$p^*(Res_{f_1, \dots, f_q} \frac{\Psi}{f_1 \dots f_q}) = Res_{p^*(f_1), \dots, p^*(f_q)} \frac{p^*(\Psi)}{p^*(f_1) \dots p^*(f_q)}$$

Moreover, since p_* commutes with $\bar{\partial}$ for compact supported forms, p^* commutes with $\bar{\partial}$ for currents. ■

2 Trace theorem

Let α be a locally residual current of bidegree $(q+p, p)$ on $D' := D \times \mathbb{C}^p$, with $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ proper in the sense that the canonical projection $\pi : D' \rightarrow D$ is proper on its support. Then, by the preceding $\pi_*(\alpha)$ is a $(q, 0)$ -locally residual current, that is, the principal part of a meromorphic q -form ω which is holomorphic at the places over which α is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed. Let us assume $q = n$. We can for all monomials $m_I \in \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_p]$ define the traces u_I by $u_I dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n = \pi_*(\alpha m_I)$, which are meromorphic functions. Let us denote $Y := \text{Supp}(\alpha)$, and $S := \text{Supp}(\bar{\partial}\alpha)$, $S' := \pi(S)$. They can be computed by residues:

Lemma 3 *Outside S' , $u_I = \sum_i \text{Res}_{P_i}(\phi_i(x, y)m_I/(f_{1,i} \dots f_{p,i}))$, where we have put α as an explicit residue at each point P_i of the fiber $\pi^{-1}(x) \cap Y$, with $Y := \text{Supp}(\alpha)$, and we compute it on the fiber $\mathbb{C}^p$ as a punctual residue.*

Proof. It follows of Fubini formula for the integral and a passage to limit. ■

Lemma 4 *Assume that the traces u_I are all zero. Then $\alpha = 0$.*

Proof. We have to use the explicit expression of the trace as a residue: $u_I = \sum_i \text{Res}_{P_i}(\phi_i(x, y)m_I/(f_{1,i} \dots f_{p,i}))$. Then we see by Fubini that the coefficient at x $\sum_i \text{Res}_{P_i}(\phi_i(x, y)m_I/(f_{1,i} \dots f_{p,i}))$ is zero for any monomial. But this imply that the current $\alpha_x = \sum_i \text{Res}_{P_i}(\phi_i(x, y)/(f_{1,i} \dots f_{p,i}))$ is zero on $\mathbb{C}^n$, since we can find for each smooth function ϕ an interpolation polynomial P for which $\alpha_x(\phi) = \alpha_x(P)$. So each α_x is zero, and by Fubini α is zero. ■

Let us assume that α is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed. Then, we can write explicitly α by using the traces. For this, let us consider the different projections $\pi_i : \mathbb{C}^{n+p} : (x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_p) \rightarrow (x_1, \dots, x_p, y_i)$. The support Y of α is projected into an hypersurface Y_i . There is a minimal polynomial, $P_i = y_i^d + a_1^i(x)y_i^{d-1} + \dots + a_d^i(x) = 0$, for which $P_i\alpha = 0$; P_i is in the ideal of Y . Thus $\alpha, \alpha y_i, \dots, y_i^{d-1}\alpha$ are independant over $\mathcal{O}(D)$, and $\alpha, \alpha y_i, \dots, y_i^d\alpha$ are dependant.

Lemma 5 *The a_j^i can be computed from the traces u_I .*

Proof. First we get the following relations from $y_1^{k_1} \dots y_p^{k_p} P_i\alpha = 0$, by taking the trace π_* :

$$u_{k_1, \dots, k_i+d, \dots, k_p} + a_1^i(x)u_{k_1, \dots, k_i+d-1, \dots, k_p} + \dots + a_d^i(x)u_{k_1, \dots, k_i, \dots, k_p} = 0$$

We see that, since $\alpha, \alpha y_i, \dots, y_i^{d-1}\alpha$ are independant, so are the columns of the matrix $u_{k_1, \dots, k_i+d-1, \dots, k_p}, \dots, u_{k_1, \dots, k_i, \dots, k_p}$, where the lines correspond to multi-indices $(k_1, \dots, k_p)$. So in the equations

$$a_1^i(x)u_{k_1, \dots, k_i+d-1, \dots, k_p} + \dots + a_d^i(x)u_{k_1, \dots, k_i, \dots, k_p} = -u_{k_1, \dots, k_i+d, \dots, k_p}$$

we can by varying the multi-indices find some non-identically zero determinant, and so solve meromorphically the equations on $(a_1^i, \dots, a_d^i)$, and this for all i . ■

Lemma 6 *The current α can be expressed explicitly as a global residue from the traces u_I and the coefficients a_j^i .*

Proof. Let us consider the following formal series: $\phi = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_p} u_{k_1, \dots, k_p} / (y_1^{k_1+1} \dots y_p^{k_p+1})$. Then we can show by computing that, formally, $P_1 \phi$, if we develop, doesn't contain any negative power of y_1 , by using the relations

$$u_{k_1+d, \dots, k_p} + a_1^1(x) u_{k_1+d-1, \dots, k_p} + \dots + a_d^1(x) u_{k_1, \dots, k_p} = 0$$

The result is the following: for $k_1 < d$ the coefficient of $y_1^{d-1-k_1}$ is: $(u_{k_1, \dots, k_p} + \dots + a_1^1 u_{k_1-1, \dots, k_p} + \dots + a_{k_1}^1 u_{0, k_2, \dots, k_p}) / (y_2^{k_2+1} \dots y_p^{k_p+1})$. So we have different formal series $a_{k_1}^1 u_{0, k_2, \dots, k_p} / (y_2^{k_2+1} \dots y_p^{k_p+1}), \dots, u_{k_1, \dots, k_p} / (y_2^{k_2+1} \dots y_p^{k_p+1})$. By multiplying by P_2 and using the relations

$$u_{k_1, k_2+d, \dots, k_p} + a_1^2(x) u_{k_1, k_2+d-1, \dots, k_p} + \dots + a_d^2(x) u_{k_1, \dots, k_p} = 0$$

we succeed in eliminating every negative power in y_2 . So we get that $P_1 \dots P_p \phi$ is a polynomial Q in $y_1, \dots, y_p$, with first term $y_1^{d-1} \dots y_p^{d-1}$. So ϕ is also, with respect to the y_i , a rational function; and so is it with respect to the variables $y'_i = 1/y_i$. But with respect to this variables, we get 0 at $y'_i = 0$; so it is well-defined at the origin, and we can develop with respect to the y'_i ; the series converges, and it has to be the same as the one given by ϕ . This one is not only a formal series, but thus converges at infinity. Thus we can distribute the integral $\int_{|y_1|=R_1, \dots, |y_p|=R_p}$ terms by terms in the sum, seeing we using the variables $y'_i = 1/y_i$ that the only non-zero term is $u_{k_1, \dots, k_p}$. But this integral for $Q/(P_1 \dots P_p) dy$ is also the same as the sum of the integral $\int_{|P_1|=\epsilon_1, \dots, |P_p|=\epsilon_p}$, at the different common zeroes of the P_i , since we can find between $|y_1|=R_1, |P_2|=\epsilon_2, \dots, |P_p|=\epsilon_p$ and $|P_1|=\epsilon_1, \dots, |P_p|=\epsilon_p$ a real variety of dimension $(p+1)$ outside $P_1 = 0$, over which the form is closed; and repeat it. Thus this is the trace of $\alpha' = \text{Res}_{P_1=0, \dots, P_p=0} Q/(P_1 \dots P_p) dy$. So α' has the same traces as α , and so it is equal to α by the preceding. ■

Theorem 1 *Let us assume that the traces $u_I = \pi_*(\alpha m_I)$, holomorphic on D , extend holomorphically to a greater domain $\tilde{D}$, for all monomials $m_I \in \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_p]$. Then α extends in a unique way to a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed proper locally residual current of bidegree $(n+p, p)$ on $\tilde{D}' := \tilde{D} \times \mathbb{C}^p$.*

Proof. First assume that the u_I extend holomorphically. Then we have some determinant over D of the equations:

$$a_1^i(x) u_{k_1, \dots, k_i+d-1, \dots, k_p} + \dots + a_d^i(x) u_{k_1, \dots, k_i, \dots, k_p} = -u_{k_1, \dots, k_i+d, \dots, k_p}$$

which is non-identically zero, and so it is also non-identically zero on $\tilde{D}$; so the a_j^i extend meromorphically. We can as before define the function $\phi = Q/(P_1 \dots P_p)$ which is rational in the y_i . We can develop it, since it is well-defined at infinity, and see that the push-forward of $\text{Res}_{P_1=0, \dots, P_p=0} \phi y_1^{i_1} \dots y_p^{i_p} dx \wedge dy$ is

$u_{k_1, \dots, k_p} dx$. Thus it extends α . The a_j^i are in general meromorphic; but we can multiply them by a common multiple of the denominators to express $\phi = Q/(P_1 \dots P_p)$, if the u_I are holomorphic, with holomorphic functions. If the u_I extend meromorphically, the numerator Q is no more holomorphic, and the corresponding current $\text{Res}_{P_i=0} Q/(P_1 \dots P_p) dx \wedge dy$ is no more $\bar{\partial}$ -closed. ■

3 Pull-back, push-forward, and Radon transform

Let us consider a submersion $p : Y \rightarrow X$. The push-forward of a current α , on the support of which p is proper, is defined by: $p_*(\alpha)(\phi) = \alpha(p^*(\phi))$. We see that $\deg(p_*(\alpha)) = \deg(\alpha) - p$, where $p = \deg(Y) - \deg(X)$. Let us assume that $\alpha = [\Psi]$ is associated to a smooth form. Then $p_*([\Psi])$, defined by "integration on the fibers", is also associated to a smooth form, which will be denoted $p_*(\Psi)$. We have $\deg(p_*(\Psi)) = \deg(\Psi) - p$ ($p_*(\Psi) = 0$ if $\deg(\Psi) < p$). Let us assume $\deg(\Psi) = p + q$. Then we can write $p_*(\Psi) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_q} \omega_{i_1, \dots, i_q} dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_q}$, where $\omega_{i_1, \dots, i_q} = \int_{p^{-1}(x)} \Psi / dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_q}$. Here, $\Psi / dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_q}$ denotes the coefficient of $dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_q}$ in the decomposition of Ψ with coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_p$.

4 Abel-Radon transform and generalized Abel's theorem for locally residual currents

Let us consider a projective variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$, and a family of p -cycles $I \subset T \times X$, where we suppose $p_1 : I \rightarrow T$ proper and $p_2 : I \rightarrow X$ submersion. For any domain $U \subset T$ we associate the dual domain $U^* := \cup_{t \in U} H_t$, with $t \times H_t = p_1^{-1}(t)$. We denote $I_U := p_1^{-1}(U)$. Then p_1, p_2 restrict to I_U and we define the Abel-Radon transform of a current α on $U^* = p_2(I_U)$ by the formula: $\mathcal{R}(\alpha) := (p_1)_*(p_2^*(\alpha))$, which is a current on T .

It follows from the commutation for push-forward and pull-back that the transformation $\mathcal{R}$ commutes with $d, \partial, \bar{\partial}$. Moreover, we can use change of parameters:

Let $\mu : T' \rightarrow T$ be change of parameter, such that the new family $I' \subset T' \times X$ with $(t', x) \in I'$ if $(\mu(t'), x) \in I$, is submersive (T can be, for instance, a submersion, or an appropriate subset of T). We can consider the Abel-Radon transform $\mathcal{R}'$ with respect to this new family. Then we have

Lemma 7 $\mathcal{R}'(\alpha) = \mu^*(\mathcal{R}(\alpha))$.

Proof. Let us define the map: $\mu' : I' \rightarrow I$ by $\mu'(t', x) = (\mu(t'), x)$. Then we have: $\mu \circ p'_1 = p_1 \circ \mu'$. Then we have $\mathcal{R}'(\alpha) = p'_{1*}(\mu'^*(p_2^*(\alpha)))$, so that we have to show $\mu^* \circ p_{1*} = p'_{1*} \circ \mu'^*$. If we compose with μ'_* , we have to check by the first relation that $\mu^* \circ \mu'_* \circ p_{1*} = p'_{1*} \circ \mu'^* \circ \mu'_*$. ■

We can also change the variety X : Let $\mu : X' \rightarrow X$ be an injection, and the corresponding family $(t, x) \in I'$ if $(t, \mu(x)) \in I$, so that I' is submersive on I' , and of transformation $\mathcal{R}'$. Then:

Lemma 8 $\mathcal{R}'(\alpha) = \mathcal{R}(\mu_*(\alpha))$.

Proof. $p_{1*}(p_2^*(\alpha)) = p_{1*}' \circ mu_*' \circ p_2^* \circ \mu^* \circ \mu_*(\alpha)$ by the isomorphism, which is by the commuting diagram $p_{1*}'(p_2^{f*}(\mu_*(\alpha)))$ ■

4.1 An explicit expression of the transform in an affine chart

Let us assume that the form α is of bidegree (p, p) . Then: $\mathcal{R}(\alpha) := \int_{H_t} \alpha$. We can see this by the fact that: $\mathcal{R}(\alpha) = \int_{p_1^{-1}(t)} p_2^*(\alpha)$; but the integral of $p_2^*(\alpha)$ over $t \times H_t$ is nothing but $\int_{H_t} \alpha$. Let us now assume that α is of bidegree $(n + p, p)$. Then:

Lemma 9 $\mathcal{R}(\alpha) = \sum_{0 \leq i_j \leq p} u_{i_1, \dots, i_n} da_{i_1}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge da_{i_n}^n$, where: $u_{i_1, \dots, i_n} = u^{j_0, \dots, j_p} = \int_{H_t} Res_{l_1=0, \dots, l_p=0} \alpha Y_0^{j_0} Y_0^{j_0} \dots Y_p^{j_p} / l_1 \dots l_n$, $j_i := \text{card}\{k, i_k = i\}$, $j_0 + \dots + j_p = n$. Here, we use the following affine coordinates: $X_1 = \sum_{j=0}^p a_j^1 Y^j, \dots, X_n = \sum_{j=0}^p a_j^n Y^j$; we let $a_0^i = b_i$.

Proof. First let us notice that the residue is a well-defined form of bidegree (p, p) on H_t . We have defined the $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ as $p_{1*}(p_2^*(\alpha))$; but this is also equal to $\pi_{1*}(\pi_2^*(\alpha) \wedge [I])$, where I is the incidence variety, defined by the linear equations $l_1 = 0, \dots, l_n = 0$, and $\pi_1 : T \times X \rightarrow T, \pi_2 : T \times X \rightarrow X$ are the canonical projections. But this is also equal to $\pi_{1*}(Res_{l_1=0, \dots, l_n=0} \pi_2^*(\alpha) / l_1 \dots l_n \wedge dl_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dl_n)$. Let us write $dl_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dl_n$ in the form $\sum_{0 \leq i_j \leq p} Y_0^{j_0} \dots Y_p^{j_p} da_{i_1}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge da_{i_n}^n + m$, with $j_0 + \dots + j_p = n$, and m contains differentials with $X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_0, \dots, Y_p$. Then the term with m will vanish in $\pi_2^*(\alpha) \wedge dl_1 \dots dl_n$. It will stay $\sum_{0 \leq j \leq p} \int_{H_t} Res_{l_1, \dots, l_n} \alpha / l_1 \dots l_n Y_0^{j_0} \dots Y_p^{j_p} da_{i_1}^1$ which is what we wanted. ■

When α is a locally residual current, we can express the coefficient $u_{j_0, \dots, j_p}$ in a different way: it is equal to:

$$\sum_i Res_{P_i} \Psi Y_0^{j_0} \dots Y_p^{j_p} / Q_1 \dots Q_p l_1 \dots l_n$$

where the P_i are the intersections of H_t with the support of α , and we write at P_i $\alpha = Res_{Q_1, \dots, Q_p} \Psi / Q_1 \dots Q_p$. This punctual residue doesn't depend on the way we express α as a residue.

5 The inverse Abel's theorem

We consider as family $T := G(p, N)$ the grassmannian of p -planes in $\mathbb{P}^N$, with I the incidence variety, with projections $p_1 : I \rightarrow T, p_2 : I \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$, and $U \subset T$ some domain, with dual U^* . If $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is a projective variety, we have by the preceding: $\mathcal{R}(\alpha) := p_{2*}(p_1^*(i_*(\alpha)))$, where $i : V^* \rightarrow U^*$ is the inclusion of $V^* = U^* \cap X$ in U^* .

Assume now that α is a locally residual current of bidegree $(q + r, r)$ on V^* , where r is the generic dimension of $H_p \cap X$. Then we obtain that $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ is

the principal value of a meromorphic q -form: it is a locally residual current of bidegree $(q, 0)$. Then we have:

Theorem 2 *If $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ extends holomorphically (resp. meromorphically) to a greater domain $\tilde{U}$, then α extends in a unique way as a locally residual current to the greater domain $\tilde{U}^* \cap X$.*

5.1 Restriction to the case of $X = \mathbb{P}^N$

We consider the current $\alpha' := i_*(\alpha)$, where $i : V^* \rightarrow U^*$ is the natural inclusion. Then we extend α' to $\tilde{U}^*$ if we know that the theorem is valid on $X = \mathbb{P}^N$. But it is clear that the support of α' must remain in X : if f annihilates on X , $f\alpha' = 0$ on U^* , and thus on $\tilde{U}^*$. Thus we can restrict to the case of $X = \mathbb{P}^N$.

5.2 Restriction to the case of maximal degree $q = n$

Let us assume that we have shown the theorem for $q = n$. Let us assume α of bidegree $(q + p, p)$, with $0 < q < n$, where $n := \dim(Y)$, $Y := \text{Supp}(Y) \subset U^*$. We fix $k := n - p$ hyperplanes, so by restriction we get a current α' of same bidegree $(q + p, p)$ in some $H = \mathbb{P}^{q+p}$. The Abel-Radon transform of α' with respect to the p -planes contained in H correspond to the Abel-Radon transform of α restricted to the corresponding Schubert cycle in $G(p, N = n + p)$. Thus we can prolongate any restriction. If we consider the support Y of α , we see that the sections $Y \cap H_t \subset U$ extend to U^* . Since this is true for any section with such H_t , we see that Y extends to U^* , by a variant of the theorem of Harvey-Lawson on the boundaries of projective varieties. Finally, we see that α extends locally, if we write it as a global residue $\sum_I \Psi_I(x) dx^I / f_1 \dots f_p$ where the f_i extends; then we see that, for different values of α^I , $\sum_I \alpha_I \Psi_I$ extend on $(q + p)$ -planes. By taking different $(p + q)$ -planes through a point, we can find the Ψ_I . Thus α extends locally: at a neighborhood point, we can write: $\alpha = \text{Res}_{f_1, \dots, f_p} \frac{\sum_I \Psi_I dx^I}{f_1 \dots f_p}$. Then we can consider a maximal prolongation domain $D \subset \tilde{U}$; and this domain must contain $\tilde{U}$.

5.3 The case of maximal degree

Assume now $q = n$. We choose affine coordinates, associated with an hyperplane at infinity; with these coordinates, we can write a p -plane in the form: $l_i = x_i - \sum_{j=1}^p a_i^j y_j - b_i = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$), so we get affine coordinates a_i^j, b_i on the grassmannian $G(p, N)$. We denote $u_{i_1, \dots, i_p} = \sum_i \text{Res}_{P_i} \Psi y_1^{i_1} \dots y_p^{i_p} / Q_1 \dots Q_p l_1 \dots l_n$; where $\alpha = \text{Res}_{Q_1 \dots Q_p} \Psi / Q_1 \dots Q_p$. Then we can see that the coefficients of $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ can be written in the form u_I : let us look at the explicit expression of the Abel-Radon transform on P^* in affine coordinates. We get

$$\sum_{0 \leq j_1, \dots, j_n \leq p} u_I da_1^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge da_n^{j_n}$$

where $u_I = \sum_i \text{Res}_{P_i} y^I \frac{\alpha}{l_1 \dots l_n}$, $I = (i_1, \dots, i_p)$, $a_j^{p+1} := b_j$ and $y^I = y_1^{i_1} \dots y_p^{i_p} = \frac{D(l_1, \dots, l_n)}{D(a_1^{j_1} \dots a_n^{j_n})}$. Here the P_i are the intersection points of the support of α with the p -plane $H_{a,b}$. The residue $\text{Res}_{P_i} \frac{\alpha}{l_1 \dots l_n}$ is by definition $\text{Res}_{P_i} \Phi / Q_1 \dots Q_p l_1 \dots l_n$, if we write at P_i $\alpha = \text{Res}_{Q_1 \dots Q_p} \Phi / Q_1 \dots Q_p$. Then:

Lemma 10 *Let us assume that α is defined and $\bar{\partial}$ -closed on P^* , where $P = P_a \times P_b$ is a polydisc; we assume moreover that on P^* , the support of α doesn't meet the hyperplane at infinity. If u_0 extends holomorphically to a greater polydisc $P' = P_a \times P'_b$, then for all multiindices $I = (i_1, \dots, i_p)$, $i_j \geq 0$, u_I extends holomorphically also to P' .*

Proof. We have that $\mathcal{R}(y^I \alpha)$ is closed for all I on P , so we have the following relations in P : $\partial_{b_i} u_{i_1+1, i_2, \dots, i_p} = \partial_{a_i^1} u_I$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since $\mathcal{R}\alpha$ extends on P' , we have that $u_{0, \dots, 0}$, the coefficient of $b_1 \wedge \dots \wedge b_n$, extends on P' , and also all $u_{i_1, \dots, i_p}$ for $i_1 + \dots + i_p \leq n$. So by integrating on b_i in P' , for fixed a in P_a , $u_{i_1+1, i_2, \dots, i_p}$, which is defined on P_b , extends also holomorphically in b . In fact, $du_{i_1+1, i_2, \dots, i_p} = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{a_i^1} u_I db_i$ extend on P_b , and so since P_b is simply connected, $u_{i_1+1, i_2, \dots, i_p}$ can be extended, if u_I can be extended. But we know that if a function, holomorphic in $P = P_a \times P_b$, extends holomorphically for a fixed to $P' = P_a \times P'_b$, then it extends holomorphically to P' . So we have shown that if $u_{i_1, \dots, i_p}$ can be extended, $u_{i_1+1, \dots, i_p}$ can also be extended. We could show in the same way that $u_{i_1, i_2+1, \dots, i_p}$ could be extended. By iteration, we have that if u_0 extends to P' , u_I extends holomorphically to P' for all $I = (i_1, \dots, i_p)$. ■

Then by the trace theorem we have that $p_2^*(\alpha)$ extends over P' , outside infinity. But on I , with coordinates (x, y, a) , if we fix a , extending $p_2^*(\alpha)$ when we fix a is equivalent to extend α on the corresponding domain. Let us fix an hyperplane at infinity H_0 . We can write $p_2 : (x, y, a) \rightarrow (x, y)$ outside infinity, so that $p_2^*(\alpha)$ is in fact independent of a in these coordinates; so we get a prolongation of α in the corresponding domain: $\cup_{(a', b) \in P'} H_{a', b} \setminus H_{a'}$, where $H_{a'}$ corresponds to the $(p-1)$ -plane in infinity, center of projection, associated with the fixation of a' (we check that the dimension of the parameter a' is np , the dimension of the grassmannian $G(p-1, N-1)$). Since we are in a local reasoning, we can assume that the hyperplane at infinity doesn't meet Y , the support of α . So by the preceding, we have extended α in a greater domain, corresponding to the union of U^* and the p -planes with parameter a' . But then we can also extend $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ and all the traces to the corresponding domain, union of p -planes meeting every component of the prolongation of α . So we made a local prolongation, and this prolongation can be made at any boundary point of U^* , by a convenient choice of the $(p-1)$ -plane at infinity. Let us denote that, since $p_2^*(\alpha)$ doesn't depend on a , the extension doesn't depend of the choice of the $(p-1)$ -plane at infinity.

Let us consider a maximal domain $D \subset \tilde{U}$ for which α extends in the corresponding domain D^* ; let us denote $\tilde{\alpha}$ this extension. If $D \neq \tilde{U}$ we will construct a greater domain for which α extends: let us take a point P in the boundary of D . Then $\tilde{\alpha}$ extends, by the preceding local prolongation, for a neighborhood of P , by a convenient choice of the $(p-1)$ -plane at infinity; that is, $\tilde{\alpha}$ extends

in the corresponding neighborhood of H_P , the p -plane of P ; so we have constructed a greater domain where $\tilde{\alpha}$ extends, which is impossible by assumption. Thus $D = \tilde{U}$.

Let us denote $\tilde{U}_{a_0}$ the section of $\tilde{U}$ by $a = a_0$. Then we have extended $p_2^*(\alpha)$ over $\tilde{U}_{a_0}$, on $I_{\tilde{U}_{a_0}} = p_1^{-1}(\tilde{U}_{a_0})$. If we fix the coefficients a_i^j , we can determine a section $s : \tilde{U}_{a_0}^* \setminus H \rightarrow I_{\tilde{U}_{a_0}}$, where $H = Y_0 = 0$ is the hyperplane at infinity, in the following way: to a point (x, y) , we associate the p -plane defined by $b_1 = x_1 - a_1^1 y_1 - \dots - a_p^1 y_p, \dots, b_n = x_1 - a_1^n y_1 - \dots - a_p^n y_p$, where $a_0 = (a_j^i)$. Then we can define $\tilde{\alpha} := s^*(\alpha')$, where α' is the extension of $p_2^*(\alpha)$ on $I_{\tilde{U}_{a_0}}$; it will be an extension of α on $\tilde{U}_{a_0}^* \setminus H$, and moreover it doesn't depend of the choice of the affine chart. If we vary a_0 in a polydisc D , we get an extension of α on the reunion $\cup_{a_0 \in D} \tilde{U}_{a_0}^* \setminus H$. Thus we can extend α on the reunion of the cones of $\tilde{U}^*$ associated to a $(p-1)$ -plane contained in U^* . By iteration, we can extend α to $\tilde{U}^*$.

5.4 The meromorphic case

Let us assume the prolongation of $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ to $\tilde{U}$ is meromorphic. It is sufficient to extend α locally, the general case is a consequence of this. So let us assume that we have extended α for a maximal domain D (which is in particular linearly convex in the sense that it corresponds to all p -planes contained in D^*). Then let us choose in D^* a boundary point P , with a p -plane through it. We can choose an hyperplane at infinity outside P , so we make "projections" from a $\mathbb{P}^{p-1}$ outside it. But fixing the $\mathbb{P}^{p-1}$ at infinity corresponds to fix $a = (a_i^j)$ in the affine coordinates. Let us assume that D doesn't contain $\tilde{U}$. Then we have that some traces u_I which extends in a neighborhood of P , in fact the coefficients of $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$. But let us consider $\mathcal{R}(\alpha y_i)$. It is closed, so we get the differential equations: $\partial_{b_i} u_{i_1+1, i_2, \dots, i_p} = \partial_{a_i^1} u_I$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. But we know (cf. [4]) that if f is holomorphic outside S , and df is meromorphic through S , then also f extend meromorphically through S . Thus, for a fixed, $\partial_{b_i} u_{i_1+1, i_2, \dots, i_p}$ extends meromorphically through the poles. By induction, all traces u_I extend meromorphically. Then we can use the trace theorem for a fixed to extend α in a neighborhood of P , and we get a greater domain than D . Thus we must have that D contains $\tilde{U}$.

6 Applications

First we get, if α is an integration current $\omega \wedge [Y]$, and if $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ extends holomorphically, an extension of α which is also an integration current, so we recover the theorem of Henkin and Passare ([7]) in the holomorphic case. If $\mathcal{R}(\alpha) = 0$, and α locally residual of bidegree $(p+q, p)$, $q > 0$, we get an algebraic prolongation of $Y = \text{Supp}(\alpha)$, so we get a generalization of the inverse Abel's theorem of Griffiths ([6]) to the non-reduced case. Let us notice that this imply that α can be written as a global residue, so $\alpha = \bar{\partial}\beta$, with β locally residual. Let us assume

that β is a locally residual current of bidegree $(q + p - 1, p - 1)$ in a linearly p -concave domain, union of p -planes. Then the Abel-Radon transform with respect to $(p - 1)$ -planes gives a meromorphic q -form in a domain of the grassmannian $G(p - 1, N)$, which contains a $\mathbb{P}^p$ (the $(p - 1)$ -planes contained in a $\mathbb{P}^p$), and so is concave in the sense of Andreotti; thus, q -form extends by [2] in a rational form; and by the preceding, β also extends on $\mathbb{P}^N$ as an algebraic current.

Let us recall that the following theorem is a consequence of the theorem of Griffiths:

If in a linear web in $\mathbb{C}^n$ (with hyperplane foliations) we have an abelian relation, this web is algebraic (that is, is defined by the hyperplanes cutting points of an algebraic curve in $\mathbb{P}^n$). Let us consider a locally residual current α of maximal degree in a projectively convex domain U^* , the web defined by the hyperplanes going through a fixed point in U , domain of the dual projective space. Then we can see that $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ is the sum of d holomorphic 1-forms, each corresponding to the sheets of the web. It would be interesting to see how these 1-forms express with respect of the defining functions u_i ($1 \leq i \leq d$) of the sheets.

7 Generalization: the transform with respect to complete intersections

Analogous results could be proved by substituting grassmannian by a parameter space of complete intersection of a given multidegree. The theorem was proved in my thesis for integration currents $\omega \wedge [Y]$. The principle of the proof is still valid here: restriction to the maximal degree by restriction, and then restriction to the case of curves by taking residues on fixed algebraic hypersurfaces of the complete intersection, and letting free just a polynomial. Then, with just one hypersurface variation, we can return to the case of hyperplanes by the Veronese mapping, using the change of variety in the Abel-Radon transform.

References

- [1] J.-E. Björk, *Residues and $\mathcal{D}$ -modules*, in The legacy of Niels Henrik Abel, Oslo, 2002
- [2] P. Dingoyan, Un phénomène de Hartogs dans les variétés projectives, Math. Z. 232 (1999) 217-240
- [3] B. Fabre, *Nouvelles variations sur des théorèmes d'Abel et Lie*, Thèse de Paris 6, 2000
- [4] B. Fabre, *Sur la transformation d'Abel-Radon des courants localement résiduels*, An. Scuola Norm. di Pisa, 2004

- [5] B. Fabre, *Sur la transformation d'Abel-Radon des courants localement résiduels en codimension supérieure*, Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, 345, 2007
- [6] P. Griffiths, *Variations on a theorem of Abel*, Inv. Math., **35** (1976), p. 321-390
- [7] G. Henkin et M. Passare, *Abelian differentials on singular varieties and variations on a theorem of Lie-Griffiths*, Invent. Math. **135** (1999), p. 297-328
- [8] S.G. Gindikin and G.M. Khenkin, Integral geometry for $\bar{\partial}$ -cohomology in q -linear concave domains in CP^n , Functional Analysis and its Applications, vol. 12, p. 247-261, 1978
- [9] M.E. Herrera et N.R. Coleff, Les courants résiduels associés à une forme méromorphe, Lecture Notes 633, Springer Verlag, 1978